



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,936	07/12/2001	George John Dawkins	AUS920010385US1	1979	
7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER			
Duke W. Yee			DAS, CHAMELI		
Carstens, Yee &		; :			
P.O. Box 802334			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75380			2122	H	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/903,9	36	DAWKINS ET AL.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		C.DAS		2122				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr opened for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. stop days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and were will, by statute, cause the approximation.	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>12 July 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
3)□	-							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u> </u>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	` ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	•	•	` '			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	o by the Examinor.	no and analysis of the		L.			
	•	for foreign priority	don 25 H C C C 440/-) (4) (5)				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental donal Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	;			
Attachmen	• •		A) [] Internation 6	· (DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Held, US 5,889,988 and further in view of Bouchier et al, (Bouchier), US 6,684,343

As per claim 1, Held (US 5,889,988) discloses:

- an operating system debugger (column 1, lines 11-14, "The present invention relates to the field of debuggers for computers. In particular, the present invention describes a debugger for debugging tasks in an operating system virtual device driver") and (column 2, lines 35-37)

-establishing extensions within operating system debugger (column 6, lines 29-36, "SPOX kernel shell ... SPOX debug extensions"), (column 6, lines 66-67-column 7, lines 1-15, "The debug support in IA-SPOX is layered on the basic ... AS part of the SPOX debug extension, key functions in the SPOX kernel shell 492 have been modified to provide further debugger support").

Held discloses operating system debugger. Held does not specifically disclose partition management firmware. However, Bouchier (US 6,684,343) discloses partition management firmware which is debugged (column 2, lines 27-28, " A service

•

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/903.936

Art Unit: 2122

processor is used to *manage the partitions* and the hardware they run on", column 4, lines 40-42, " Also shown in Fig 1 is system firmware 901 running on the processors of cell 0 and cell 1, in partition 0"), where the cells are the partitions (column 4, lines 25-30) and (column 12, lines 59-61, " A user runs a debugger client 902 on their workstation 901 to debug the OS or FW"). The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the isolation between different applications so that a fatal error in one partition would not affect the other partitions.

As per claim 2, Held discloses:

- establishing function calls ... operations (column 8, lines 3-15, " The DSP debug API 441 is event-based. The debugger 440 calls the DSP debug API 441 periodically to wait for debug events (with a time-out). The debug events include the creation and exit of a task, the loading and unloading of a module (e.g. DLL), text output directed to the debugger from the task, and exceptions. A debug event structure includes the task handle, and an event code and corresponding event information. Exception events contain a record that provides details about the particular exception that has occurred. The DSP debug API 441 includes functions to control task execution, query and alter a task's environment, and control the debugging process (e.g. wait for an event).

Held does not specifically disclose *partition management firmware*. However, Bouchier *discloses partition management firmware which is debugged* (column 4, lines 40-42, "Also shown in Fig 1 is system firmware 901 running on the processors of cell 0 and cell 1, in partition 0"), where the cells are the partitions (column 4, lines 25-30) and (column 12, lines 59-61, "A user runs a debugger client 902 on their workstation 901 to debug the OS or FW"). The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the isolation between different applications so that a fatal error in one partition would not affect the other partitions.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,936

Art Unit: 2122

As per claim 3, Held discloses:

- establishing an event handler ... debugger (column 8, lines 3-15, column 9, lines 51-58, "The debug task 444 also fields messages from software interrupt handlers (ISRs), such as exception handler 650, to provide for the catching of exceptions such as page faults 655. The ISRs simply post a message to the debug task 444 and suspend their current task. The debug task then notifies the debugger 440 of the exception and eventually kills or resumes the task after the user has altered its context."
- invoking said event... debugger (column 8, lines 3-15, column 8, lines 31-60, column 9, lines 51-58)
 - reporting ... event handler routine (column 6, lines 3-15, column 6, lines 31-37, column 9, lines 46-58). For partition management firmware, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 4, Held discloses:

- establishing a read memory call ... read memory function call (column 9, lines 28-45). For partition management firmware, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 5, Held discloses:

- establishing a write memory call ... read memory function call (column 9, lines 28-45). For partition management firmware, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 6, Held discloses:

determining whether debugging is enabled ... debugging is disabled (column 8, lines 31-37, column 9, lines 4-10, column 9, lines 52-58). For partition management firmware, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,936

Art Unit: 2122

As per claim 7, Held discloses:

- including a service processor ... system (column 6, lines 1-6, column 6, lines 29-30, column 7, lines 9-12)
- enabling debugging ... processor (column 8, lines 31-37, column 9, lines 4-10, column 9, lines 52-58)
- disabling debugging ... processor (column 8, lines 31-37, column 9, lines 4-10, column 9, lines 52-58).). For partition management firmware, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 8, Held discloses:

- data processing system includes hardware (column 3, lines 38-41)
- operating system debugger (column 1, lines 10-13)

Held does not specifically disclose debugger exists within a partition and partition management firmware exists between partition and hardware. However, Bouchier discloses debugger exists within a partition (Bouchier, column 12, lines 49-50, " The debugger stub, which runs on the partition or cell being debugged") and partition management firmware exists between partition and hardware (Bouchier, column 2, lines 27-28, column 4, lines 60-65). The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the isolation between different applications so that a fatal error in one partition would not affect the other partitions.

Claims 9-16 are the program product claims corresponding to the method claims 1-8 and rejected under the same reason set forth in connection of the rejections of claims 1-8.

Claims 17-24 are the system claims corresponding to the method claims 1-8 and rejected under the same reason set forth in connection of the rejections of claims 1-8.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/903,936

Art Unit: 2122

4. The prior art made or record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

TITLE: Operating system debugger using kernel and dynamic extension with debugger drivers to support different output devices, US 5958049 A

TITLE: Security framework for dynamically wrapping software applications executing in a computing system, US 6658571 B1

TITLE: Method and apparatus for dynamically sharing memory in a multiprocessor system, US 6381682 B2

TITLE: Method for synchronizing plurality of time of year clocks in partitioned plurality of processors where each partition having a microprocessor configured as a multiprocessor backplane manager, US 6711693 B1

TITLE: Memory management in embedded systems with dynamic object instantiation, US 6546477 B1

TITLE: System, method and program for debugging external programs in client/serverbased relational database management systems, US 6324683 B1

TITLE: Method for debugging an integrated circuit using extended operating modes, US 5828824 A

TITLE: Method, apparatus and computer program product for debugging a computer's boot process, US 6289448 B1

TITLE: Method and apparatus for providing improved diagnostic functions in a computer system, US 6449735 B1

TITLE: Logical partition manager and method, US 6691146 B1

Art Unit: 2122

TITLE: Debugging Concurrency Extensions for Standard ML, author: Tolmach et al,

ACM, 1991.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Chameli Das whose telephone number is 703-

305-1339.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Tuan Dam can be reached at 703-305-4552. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

An inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

Chameli'C Das

CHAMELI C. DAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2122

4/28/04

Page 7